AD-A032 256

WALTER REED ARMY MEDICAL CENTER WASHINGTON D C
THE CLINICAL EVALUATION OF A RAPID METHOD FOR THE PRESURGICAL C--ETC(U)

UNCLASSIFIED

NL

END
DATE
FILMED
1-77

REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTR	
REPORT NUMBER		BEFORE COMPL	
. REPORT NUMBER	2. JOVT ACCESSION NO	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALO	GNUMBER
The Clinical Evaluation of a Rapid	Method for	S. TYPE OF REPORT & F	
the Presurgical Cleansing of Hands	Piccinod for	manuscript for pu	- Common - C
the Presurgical Cleansing of Hands		2 Aug 75 - 1 Oct	
		6. PERFORMING ORG. RE	PORT NUMBER
		(16)	
AUTHOR(s)	,	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT	
A Decker, L.A.*, Gross, A.+, Mille	r, F.C.*,	62110A (3A16211	
Read, J.A.*, Cutright, D.E.+, an	d Devine, J.†	Task 00 0H6036	Work Unit
		107	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, AREA & WORK UNIT	PROJECT, TASK
U.S. Army Institute of Dental Rese			
Department of Obstetrics and Gynec	ology*, Walter	62110A 3A162110A	
Reed Army Medical Center, Washingto		Task 00 OH6036	Work Unit
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE	
U.S. Army Med. Research & Developm	ent Command.		
HODA (SGRD-RP)		7 October 76	
Washington, D.C. 20314		9	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(It differen	nt from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of	this report)
The second secon	Consequences, and	IS. SECONTIT CEASS. (OF	una report)
L. A. Decker, A. Gross	1	UNCLASSIFIED	
- 1	1		/
H. C./Miller, J. A. /Re	ad L	154. DECLASSIFICATION	DOWNGRADING
	C all Contractions		
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	E. /Cutria	ht/	
	- 0		+ih+ion
This document has been approved for	r public release	and sale; its dis	stribution
is unlimited.		(12)11-1	
		CATTP.	
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered	in Block 20, if different fr	om Report)	
PAI 20 75	1 RA 76		
Rept. for 2 Aug 75-	- Occ 10)	DD	-
0 0	A COLUMN TO A COLU		<u> </u>
		- BESE	חווים
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			7111
		NOV 19	1976
none		[0]	
		III THE PROPERTY	1775 11
			ט ביבי
9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary a		) (10 B	
Surgical Scrub, new method, evalua	tion	4	
		V	
	1		
	\		
	\		
O. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary an	d identify by block number	A new rapid method	of pre-
surgical cleansing of hands has bee	n evaluated in a	clinical setting	The
effectiveness of a 90 second jet wa	sh has been comm	ared with a stand	ard 10
ninute presurgical scrub. Bacteric	logical cultures	were obtained from	om finger-
ting of integral scrub. Bacterio	of the Density	ent of Obstatued III	and Inger
tips of interns, residents and staff	Modical Contact	before and often	DILA
Synecology of the Walter Reed Army	Medical Center,	before and after	second
jet washings and 10 minute conventi	ional scrubs. Th	e results revealed	that the
90 second jet wash was more effecti	ve in degerming	the hands than the	e 10 minute
standard brush scrub. Other advant			

DD FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

#20				The ACC ASSESSED.
**20			Tall to die 19	
time saved, the stan	dardization o	f cleansing,	and reduced	skin irritatio
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				

The Clinical Evaluation of a Rapid Method for the Presurgical Cleansing of Hands

Decker, L.A., MAJ, MC Gross, A., COL, DC Miller, F.C., LTC, MC Read, J.A., MAJ, MC Cutright, D.E., COL, DC Devine, J.

ACCESSION for	
RTIS	Wale State #
000	But Series (1)
UNANACUMCED	
JUSTIFICATION	4
BY	S. D. YELLE ALLANAN ME
BISTRIBUTIO	NAVAILACILITY SEL 3
DISTRIBUTIO	

#### ABSTRACT

A new rapid method of presurgical cleansing of hands has been evaluated in a clinical setting. The effectiveness of a 90 second jet wash has been compared with a standard 10 minute presurgical scrub. Bacteriological cultures were obtained from fingertips of interns, residents and staff of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of the Walter Reed Army Medical Center, before and after 90 second jet washings and 10 minute conventional scrubs. The results revealed that the 90 second jet wash was more effective in degerming the hands than the 10 minute standard brush scrub. Other advantages of the new method, such as the amount of time saved, the standardization of cleansing, and reduced skin irritation are discussed.

The techniques and concepts of surgery have advanced very dramatically in the past several decades. One area, however, which has changed very little is the method of presurgical scrub. A radically new technique of rapid presurgical scrub - Hydroscrub has been developed by the United States Army Institute of Dental Research at Walter Reed Army Medical Center. This device delivers the scrub solution to the arms and hands through a series of jets at a constant rate of 1200 pulses per minute. The solution can be delivered at variable pressures up to 200 pounds per square inch (PSI) and is timer controlled to give scrub durations of 30 seconds to 5 minutes. Evaluation of the effectiveness of this method using nonclinical personel has been reported. 3,6 This study was designed to compare the effectiveness and acceptance of this method of presurgical hand cleansing with the standard 10 minute presurgical scrub in a clinical setting. It was felt that an effective rapid method of presurgical scrub would have special applicability in obstetrics where the standard 10 minute scrubs are frequently impossible. In addition, frequent scrubbing is required for the many minor surgical procedures in gynecology. The abbreviated scrub should save considerable operating room personel time and reduce skin irritation.

### METHODS AND MATERIALS

The Arm and Hand Washer (Fig. 1) was tested for its effectiveness on surgical personel consisting of 10 members of the Department of OB/GYN at Walter Reed Army Medical Center including interns, residents and staff physicians. Utilizing this device both arms and hands were washed with Betadine Solution\* (253 x diluted) for 90 seconds at pressures of 120 pounds per square inch (PSI). The solution in the reservoir tank was recirculated for use in multiple washings and was changed every 24 hours. In addition, the effectiveness of a standard 10 minute presurgical brush scrub utilizing Betadine Surgical Scrub\* according to protocol (Table I) was evaluated on the same subjects.

Each participant served as his own control. A total of 5 standard 10 minute presurgical hand and forearm cleansing procedures and 5 ninety second hydroscrubs were performed by each subject prior to such minor procedures as vaginal delivery, abortions, and laparoscopic tubal ligations. The effectiveness of both methods of presurgical preparation of the hands was assessed by the fingertip impression method using trypticase soy agar as a culture medium. The cultures of fingertips were obtained immediately prior to and immediately after the hydroscrub and the standard scrub. All plates were incubated at 37°C and examined after 24 and 48 hours.

Eighty-four prescrub cultures of the fingertips showed heavy and confluent growth, making it impossible to count the bacterial colonies. In such cases, counts of 50 per fingertip, or a total of 250 as a maximal count for the five fingertips were recorded.

No attempt was made to identify the microorganisms. The mean colony count per hand of each subject prior to each of the scrubs

<sup>\*</sup> Purdue Frederick Co., Yonkers, N.Y.

was compared with the mean count after each scrub and then the two methods compared.

#### RESULTS

The results are summarized in Table II. Bacterial counts per 5 fingertips in the hydroscrub group before cleansing ranged from 54.9 to 178.5 with an average for 10 subjects of 116.6. Cultures of fingertips immediately after the hydroscrub showed bacterial counts ranging from 0.7 to 6.9 colonies with an average of 3.3. The difference between pre and post wash results is statistically significant (P < .001) using student's paired "t" test.

Average prescrub bacterial count before the conventional 10 minute presurgical scrub was 85.7 colony forming units and the post scrub count was 7.9. The post scrub reduction of bacterial counts for this method is also statistically significant (P < .001).

Comparison of mean percent reduction for the hydroscrub group of 97.2 with 90.8 for the conventional scrub group indicates that the hydroscrub may be more effective than the conventional scrub. The difference between the two methods, however, is not statistically significant.

#### DISCUSSION

The results of this study are in agreement with hydroscrub studies on non-clinical personel reported earlier. 3,6 The 90.8 percent reduction of bacterial numbers following 10 minute conventional surgical scrub (Table II) is similar to the 91 and

94 percent reduction reported by Dineen and Bernard respectively.

A 10 minute scrub was chosen as a control because this is the most commonly used duration of scrub. In our standard scrub series two individuals had high bacterial counts after routine scrubs. We feel this points up a shortcoming inherent in the standard scrub. The results depend on the individual's experience, technique, motivation and circumstance. All scrubs in this study were closely supervised to keep these variables to a minimum.

The effectiveness of the hydroscrub was significantly better than that of the standard scrub with a reduction to an average of 3.3 organisms per hand compared to the 7.9 organisms per hand for the standard scrub. The percent reduction (97.2) was also higher than that reported in the series previously quoted. <sup>2,4</sup> The reduction was constant and uniform for all individuals. The hydroscrub technique is standardized by machine and is not dependent on the individual variables previously cited. Therefore, inexperienced personel are able to consistently achieve results as good as the most experienced scrub nurse.

The principle of pulsating pressure jets became familiar through the use of oral irrigation devices. The United States Army Institute of Dental Research developed a wound lavage jet device which was used extensively during the Viet Nam War for the debridement of combat wounds. The present hand wash machine is another application of these already well proven uses for the jet wash principle. Future uses of this method of hand scrubbing other than in the presurgical area may

involve hand cleansing on post surgical wards, in newborn nurseries etc.

### SUMMARY

The results of the jet wash experiments have shown that the hydroscrub is:

- 1. As efficient in hand cleansing as conventional methods
- 2. At least 6 times faster.
- 3. Less irritating to the skin.
- 4. Gives more consistent results.
- 5. Clinically acceptable.

#### REFERENCES

- Bhaskar, S.N.; Cutright, D.E.; Hunsuck, E.E.; and Gross, A.: Pulsating water jet devices in debridment of combat wounds. Milt Med 136:264, 1971.
- 2. Bernard, H.R.: The effect of scrub time on hand antisepsis using providone-iodine surgical scrub for 3, 5, 10 minute scrubs, in Polk, HC, Ehrenkranz NJ (eds): Proceedings of the Symposium on Therapeutic Advances and New Clinical Implications: Medical and Surgical Antisepsis with Betadine Microbiocides, University of Miami School of Medicine, Fla.; Yonkers, N.Y.; Purdue Frederick Co., 1972, pp 95-97.
- 3. Cutright, D.E.; Bhaskar, S.N.; Gross, A.; and Mulcahy, D.M.: A new method of presurgical hand cleansing. Oral Surg 33:163, 1972.
- 4. Dineen, P.: An evaluation of the duration of the surgical scrub. Surg Gynecol Obstet 129:187, 1971.
- Gross, A.; Bhaskar, S.N.; Cutright, D.E.; Beasley, J.D.; and Perez, B.: The effect of pulsating water jet lavage on experimental contaminated wounds. J Oral surg 29:187, 1971.
- Gross, A.; Selting, W.J.; Cutright, D.E.; and Bhaskar, S.N.: Evaluation of two antiseptic agents in surgical preparation of hands by a new method. <u>Amer J Surg</u> 126:49-52, 1973.

## TABLE I

### Protocols for Hand Cleansing Methods

## Conventional Brush Scrub (10 min)

- Moisten hands and forearms.
- 2. Clean under fingernails.
- 3. Lather hands and forearms to 3. Remove arms when scrubber stops. 3 inches above the elbow.
- 4. Ranse thoroughly.
- 5. Use sterile scrub brush to lather hands and forearms for 3 minutes.
- 6. Rinse thoroughly with tap water.
- 7. Repeat 5 and 6.
- 8. Dry with sterile towel.

# Jet Wash (60 sec)

- 1. Insert arms into scrubber.
- Adjust timer to 90 seconds. 2.
- 4. Rinse thoroughly with tap water.
- 5. Dry with sterile towel.

TABLE II Average bacterial counts (colony forming units) per hand before and after the jet wash and the standard scrub

SUBJECT	JET WASI	STANDARI	STANDARD SCRUB		
	PRE	POST	PRE	POST	
GB	66.3*	1.3*	26.7*	2.6*	
LD	107.5	2.4	75.4	4.3	
PD	54.9	3.7	39.0	39.2	
PH	100.8	3.0	124.5	1.3	
KK	112.6	0.7	118.0	0.3	
HL	142.1	3.5	114.7	17.2	
TM	100.0	2.5	70.9	2.6	
FM	150.4	5.4	113.1	4.2	
KS	153.4	4.0	76.3	0.1	
RT	178.5	6.9	97.1	7.4	
AVERAGE	116.6	3.3**	85.7	7.9**	
% REDUCTION	97.2		90.8	***	

<sup>\*</sup> Average of 5 determinations \*\* Significant (P< .001) \*\*\* Non significant